
#### **CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICES (CPS)**

Child maltreatment is a serious problem that has grave and costly consequences for the child, his or her family and the community at-large. A child who has experienced abuse and neglect is more likely to have social, emotional and physical health problems and perform poorly in school.

Indicator		2011			2012			2013			2014			2015		County % Change
Indicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	2011 to 2015
Child Abuse and Neglect Reports Total reported incidents of suspected child abuse and neglect through the ChildLine Registry. (Number and rate per 1,000 children age 0-17)	42 4.7	2,246 10.6	24,378 8.7	33 3.7	2,590 12.2	26,664 9.5	56 6.3	2,575 12.1	26,944 9.6	50 5.6	2,892 13.6	29,273 10.5	121 13.6	3,995 18.8	40,590 14.5	188.1%
Child Abuse and Neglect Substantiations Founded or indicated reports of child abuse and/or neglect.	42.9%	19.2%	14.0%	15.2%	17.5%	13.4%	19.6%	16.1%	12.7%	20.0%	14.7%	11.4%	20.7%	12.6%	10.4%	-51.8%
Repeated Child Abuse and Neglect Children with another substantiated incident of abuse and/or neglect following the first reported incident. (Data may be overstated.)	5.6% 1 in 18	11.6% 1 in 9	7.9% 1 in 13	20.0% 1 in 5	10.4% 1 in 10	7.9% 1 in 13	9.1% 1 in 11	10.1% 1 in 10	8.8% 1 in 11	10.0% 1 in 10	6.6% 1 in 15	7.2% 1 in 14	0.0% 0	11.2% 1 in 9	6.5% 1 in 15	-100.0%



#### **GENERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICES (GPS)**

Pennsylvania has a differential response system in that reports not alleging abuse of a child are categorized as general protective services (GPS) reports. Addressing concerns related to child safety and well-being before allegations of child abuse or severe neglect are made enables children to remain safely in their own homes while services are provided to the family to mitigate the risk of potential maltreatment.

Indicator	2011 County Bural State				2012			2013			2014			2015		County % Change
Indicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	2011 to 2015
GPS Reports Total reported concerns of general neglect, not alleging child abuse. General neglect concerns may include parental substance abuse, inadequate shelter or supervision, parent-child conflict or truancy concerns.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	473	15,186	131,953	-
Valid Reports Reports of general neglect in which the allegations were found to have merit. Includes reports accepted for in-home services and reports referred out to community agencies for services.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42.3%	22.4%	18.4%	-
		2012			2013			2014		İ.	2015			2016		County % Change
	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	2012 to 2016
Unduplicated Number of Children Served Children who received in-home services along with parents to address concerns related to child safety and well-being.	843	21,306	164,842	934	20,283	172,807	974	22,048	167,582	747	22,743	181,371	806	24,517	190,413	-4.4%

#### FOSTER CARE - SERVED

Foster care is meant to be a temporary intervention to assure the safety and well-being of a child. A child who spends long periods in foster care is more likely than other children to drop out of school, have mental health challenges, and experience unemployment and/or homeless as an adult. The following shows the past five years of data regarding children served in foster care during each federal fiscal year.

federal fiscal year.		2012			2013			2014			2015			2016		County % Change
Indicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	2012 to 2016
Unduplicated Number of Children Served	county	Rurur	otato	county	rtara	otato	county	Rara	otato	oounty	itarai	otato	county	Rarar	otuto	
All Children in Foster Care During the Year	31	1,615	22,000	42	1,462	21,416	39	1,535	22,510	51	1,574	22,980	66	1,695	24,543	112.9%
(Rate per 1,000 children age 0-20)	2.7	6.1	6.5	3.6	5.6	6.4	3.4	5.8	6.7	4.4	6.0	6.8	5.7	6.5	7.3	
				1			1									
By Age 0-2	6.5%	16.9%	18.9%	21.4%	18.5%	19.2%	30.8%	20.6%	19.4%	17.6%	22.7%	19.9%	34.8%	22.0%	20.2%	440.2%
3-5	25.8%	16.6%	15.6%	21.4%	15.7%	15.9%	7.7%	15.0%	16.8%	3.9%	15.9%	17.1%	12.1%	16.1%	17.0%	-53.0%
6-8	9.7%	10.5%	10.8%	4.8%	11.7%	11.5%	10.3%	12.6%	12.3%	2.0%	11.5%	12.9%	4.5%	12.4%	13.0%	-53.0%
9-11	12.9%	9.7%	8.9%	11.9%	8.8%	8.9%	2.6%	8.9%	9.5%	5.9%	9.5%	10.0%	3.0%	11.2%	11.0%	-76.5%
12-14	29.0%	13.9%	12.0%	14.3%	13.2%	11.4%	12.8%	12.4%	10.9%	21.6%	12.7%	11.4%	10.6%	11.3%	11.2%	-63.5%
15-17	16.1%	24.8%	23.3%	23.8%	23.6%	22.3%	30.8%	24.0%	21.4%	41.2%	22.4%	20.4%	24.2%	22.7%	19.6%	50.3%
18-20	0.0%	7.6%	10.5%	2.4%	8.5%	10.7%	5.1%	6.6%	9.8%	7.8%	5.2%	8.3%	10.6%	4.4%	7.9%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	3.2%	11.4%	12.2%	19.0%	12.4%	11.9%	28.2%	14.2%	12.5%	13.7%	15.9%	12.8%	25.8%	14.9%	12.8%	698.5%
Youth (age 13+)	41.9%	42.5%	42.4%	35.7%	42.2%	41.5%	46.2%	39.1%	38.9%	62.7%	36.7%	36.7%	40.9%	34.8%	35.5%	-2.4%
				-	-	1	-		1			1	-		1	
By Race and Ethnicity Non-Hispanic White	83.9%	89.0%	43.3%	83.3%	89.5%	41.8%	82.1%	89.9%	42.3%	80.4%	87.0%	40.9%	84.8%	88.0%	42.5%	1.2%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	83.9% 12.9%	4.6%	43.3% 34.9%	03.3% 11.9%	89.5% 3.7%	41.8% 34.8%	62.1% 5.1%	2.5%	42.3%	60.4% 5.9%	3.6%	40.9% 34.6%	84.8% 3.0%	3.1%	42.5% 35.3%	-76.5%
Non-Hispanic Of Amoan American Non-Hispanic Other Race	3.2%	1.6%	6.5%	2.4%	0.8%	7.0%	0.0%	0.7%	4.4%	0.0%	3.0 <i>%</i> 1.1%	5.9%	3.0 <i>%</i> 1.5%	0.9%	3.3%	-53.0%
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	0.0%	1.0%	3.2%	0.0%	3.3%	3.9%	5.1%	4.0%	4.4%	3.9%	5.3%	5.3%	3.0%	4.9%	5.4%	-00.070
Hispanic or Latino	0.0%	2.8%	12.0%	2.4%	2.8%	12.5%	7.7%	2.8%	13.1%	9.8%	3.0%	13.4%	7.6%	3.1%	13.4%	_
	0.070	2.070	12.070		2.070	.2.070		2.070		0.070	0.070		1.070	0.170		
By Gender																
Male	38.7%	53.4%	50.5%	38.1%	52.9%	50.8%	46.2%	53.4%	50.8%	52.9%	53.4%	51.0%	56.1%	53.4%	51.2%	44.8%
Female	61.3%	46.6%	49.5%	61.9%	47.1%	49.2%	53.8%	46.6%	49.2%	47.1%	46.6%	49.0%	43.9%	46.6%	48.8%	-28.3%
Du First Blockmant Satting													1			
By First Placement Setting Family Setting	87.1%	75.7%	72.8%	88.1%	73.8%	73.4%	87.2%	75.2%	75.8%	84.3%	75.0%	77.1%	86.4%	75.6%	79.0%	-0.8%
Pre-adoptive Home	3.2%	3.0%	3.2%	4.8%	4.2%	3.4%	0.0%	4.0%	3.5%	0.0%	4.3%	3.1%	1.5%	3.4%	4.5%	-53.0%
Foster Family Home – Relative	16.1%	23.1%	24.4%	35.7%	22.0%	24.8%	38.5%	26.6%	29.6%	21.6%	26.9%	31.5%	31.8%	28.8%	34.1%	97.3%
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	67.7%	49.7%	45.2%	47.6%	47.6%	45.2%	48.7%	44.7%	42.7%	62.7%	43.8%	42.5%	53.0%	43.4%	40.5%	-21.7%
Congregate Care Setting	12.9%	19.9%	20.6%	11.9%	20.0%	19.6%	12.8%	18.6%	18.3%	13.7%	18.4%	17.1%	12.1%	18.4%	15.8%	-6.1%
Group Home	0.0%	14.7%	12.5%	0.0%	14.2%	12.1%	0.0%	13.9%	11.6%	0.0%	14.5%	10.5%	0.0%	14.5%	9.1%	-
Institution	12.9%	5.2%	8.0%	11.9%	5.7%	7.5%	12.8%	4.7%	6.8%	13.7%	3.9%	6.6%	12.1%	4.0%	6.8%	-6.1%
Supervised Independent Living	0.0%	1.1%	1.8%	0.0%	1.4%	2.0%	0.0%	0.8%	1.9%	2.0%	1.1%	1.7%	1.5%	0.8%	1.6%	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.1%	1.4%	0.0%	0.2%	1.8%	0.0%	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.2%	1.4%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	3.2%	3.4%	0.0%	4.6%	3.2%	0.0%	5.0%	2.8%	0.0%	5.5%	2.9%	0.0%	5.0%	2.2%	-
Children Entering Foster Care				1			1						1		1	
All Entries into Foster Care During the Year	14	757	9.793	16	700	9,306	23	811	10,364	36	821	10,387	34	945	10,567	142.9%
			/	-	100	0,000		-			-					112.070
70	County	Foster C	are Servi	ces				Per	cent of P	Il Childre	en served	a by Plac	ement Se	etting, 20	10	
60						plicated		County								
50					Child											
		_			Serve	-		1								
40					Exits			Rural								
30		-/						-								
20								State								
10					All En	itries										
								+ 0%	<u></u>	20%	40%	609	%	80%	100%	
0	Eramily Setting															
Source: AFCARS Report	2013	2014	2012	2016	,			Source: AFCAR	tS Report		con	gregate Care	5			

#### **FOSTER CARE - FIRST TIME ENTRIES**

Data on first time entries provides information on children who have just begun their foster care experience during the past federal fiscal year. How the child welfare system is serving them may say something about current system practice and decision making.

something about current system practice	and decision				0040			0044		1	0045		1	0040		
Indicator	Count	2012 y Rural	State	County	2013 Rural	State	County	2014 Rural	State	County	2015 Rural	State	County	2016 Rural	State	County % Change 2012 to 2016
Children Entering for the First Time	Count	y Rurai	State	County	Rurai	State	County	Rurai	State	County	Rurai	State	County	Rurai	State	2012 10 2016
First Time Entries During the	Year 10	568	7,018	16	546	6,698	20	658	7,592	30	646	7,843	30	721	8,082	
(Rate per 1,000 children age		2.2	2.1	1.4	2.1	2.0	1.7	2.5	2.3	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.6	2.7	2.4	200.0%
First Time Entries as Percent of All E	,		71.7%	1.4	78.0%	2.0 72.0%	87.0%	2.5 81.1%	2.3 73.3%	2.0 83.3%	2.5 78.7%	2.3 75.5%	2.0 88.2%	76.3%	2.4 76.5%	23.5%
	11165 71.47	75.070	/ 1./ /0	100.070	70.070	12.070	07.070	01.170	13.370	03.370	10.170	15.570	00.270	70.370	70.370	23.370
By Age																
	0-2 40.0%		32.2%	50.0%	30.2%	32.8%	30.0%	31.9%	32.7%	26.7%	34.8%	32.7%	50.0%	29.5%	33.3%	25.0%
	3-5 60.0%		14.2%	12.5%	13.9%	14.6%	5.0%	16.0%	15.7%	0.0%	12.2%	14.6%	20.0%	15.4%	14.7%	-66.7%
	6-8 0.0%	-	10.1%	0.0%	10.8%	10.0%	15.0%	13.1%	11.5%	3.3%	10.8%	11.9%	13.3%	13.9%	12.5%	-
	-11 0.0%		8.6%	6.3%	8.8%	8.2%	5.0%	8.8%	9.2%	16.7%	10.4%	9.8%	3.3%	11.2%	9.6%	-
	-14 0.0%		14.4%	6.3%	15.6%	13.5%	15.0%	10.9%	12.7%	23.3%	14.7%	13.4%	6.7%	11.5%	12.6%	-
	-17 0.0%		20.4%	25.0%	20.5%	20.8%	30.0%	19.0%	18.0%	30.0%	17.0%	17.4%	6.7%	18.4%	17.2%	-
	-20 0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0% 20.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	-
Infants (age (			26.2%	43.8%	24.5% 32.2%	26.4% 31.4%	30.0%	24.2%	26.3% 27.6%	20.0% 46.7%	28.0% 28.9%	26.2%	40.0%	23.6%	26.3% 26.6%	100.0%
Youth (age 1	3+) 0.0%	33.4%	31.2%	25.0%	32.2%	31.4%	45.0%	27.4%	27.0%	40.7%	20.9%	27.5%	13.3%	26.8%	20.0%	-
By Race and Ethnicity																
Non-Hispanic W			49.3%	87.5%	89.4%	45.7%	70.0%	89.2%	46.9%	80.0%	85.0%	42.7%	86.7%	89.5%	49.4%	23.8%
Non-Hispanic Black or African Ameri			31.9%	6.3%	3.8%	29.6%	5.0%	2.3%	29.2%	6.7%	4.6%	29.1%	3.3%	2.5%	28.6%	-88.9%
Non-Hispanic Other R			4.1%	0.0%	0.9%	7.9%	0.0%	0.9%	5.1%	0.0%	1.9%	8.7%	3.3%	0.8%	4.1%	-
Non-Hispanic Two or More Ra			3.0%	0.0%	2.9%	3.9%	10.0%	4.3%	4.9%	0.0%	4.8%	5.6%	3.3%	4.0%	5.4%	-
Hispanic or La	tino 0.0%	1.8%	11.7%	6.3%	2.9%	13.0%	15.0%	3.3%	13.9%	13.3%	3.7%	14.0%	3.3%	3.2%	12.6%	-
By Gender																
	ale 30.0%	49.8%	49.9%	56.3%	49.5%	50.2%	40.0%	53.3%	51.0%	63.3%	52.3%	50.8%	53.3%	53.5%	50.8%	77.8%
Fem	ale 70.0%	50.2%	50.1%	43.8%	50.5%	49.8%	60.0%	46.7%	49.0%	36.7%	47.7%	49.2%	46.7%	46.5%	49.2%	-33.3%
			-	1	1			1		1	1		1			
By First Placement Setting																
Family Sett			76.4%	87.5%	77.5%	76.7%	85.0%	79.9%	80.7%	83.3%	79.1%	80.9%	93.3%	81.0%	82.3%	-6.7%
Pre-adoptive Ho Foster Family Home – Rela		-	0.2% 25.8%	0.0% 31.3%	0.2% 25.8%	0.3% 27.2%	0.0% 40.0%	0.3% 33.6%	0.2% 33.1%	0.0% 30.0%	0.0% 32.7%	0.1% 33.6%	0.0% 36.7%	0.0% 35.0%	0.2% 37.5%	- 83.3%
Foster Family Home – Non-Rela			23.8% 50.4%	56.3%	23.8% 51.5%	49.3%	40.0%	46.0%	47.5%	53.3%	46.4%	47.2%	56.7%	46.0%	44.6%	-29.2%
Congregate Care Se			<b>21.1%</b>	12.5%	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>21.0%</b>	45.0%	<b>16.6%</b>	47.5%	13.3%	40.4 % 18.0%	17.0%	<b>6.7%</b>	40.0%	15.9%	-29.2%
Group Ho			14.7%	0.0%	16.1%	15.0%	0.0%	13.8%	12.1%	3.3%	14.9%	10.7%	0.0%	12.8%	9.7%	
Institu			6.4%	12.5%	5.1%	6.0%	15.0%	2.7%	5.4%	10.0%	3.1%	6.3%	6.7%	3.5%	6.2%	
Supervised Independent L			0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	3.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	-
Runa			0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.1%	0.6%	-
Trial Home	Visit 0.0%	0.9%	1.9%	0.0%	0.7%	1.5%	0.0%	3.0%	1.2%	0.0%	2.8%	1.5%	0.0%	2.6%	1.0%	-
	Child	ren Enteri	ng Foster	Care						First Ti	me Entri	es into Fo	oster Care	2		
40		Children Entering Foster Care										and Year	of Entry			
35								60%		_						
30								60%								
								50%	<u> </u>							
25 -						<b>.</b>		40%	X						2012	
20					- R	e-Entries								_	2013	
15								30%		+				<u> </u>	2014	
								20%		$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}$			*			
10						rst Time						X		-	2015	
5 —				. —		ntries		10%		$\sim$	$\checkmark$				2016	
	1% 100%	87%	839	6 88		ncluding ercent)		0%			<b>X</b>					
2	012 2013	2014	201	5 20	16 p				0-2	3-5	6-8	9-11	12-14	15-17		
Source: AFCARS Repo	rt							Source: AFCAF	tS Report							

### FOSTER CARE - RE-ENTRIES


Data on re-entries provides information on children who come back into foster care because of new or continuing challenges at home. Some children experience numerous foster care episodes or cycle in and out of the system. Others can experience large spans of time between foster care episodes, which is why the age of children who re-enter is typically older.


		2012			2013			2014			2015			2016		County % Chan
dicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	2012 to 2016
hildren Re-Entering Foster Care																
Re-Entries During the Y	ar 4	189	2,775	0	154	2,608	3	153	2,772	6	175	2,544	4	224	2,485	0.0%
Re-Entries as Percent of All Entr		25.0%	28.3%	0.0%	22.0%	2,000	13.0%	18.9%	26.7%	16.7%	21.3%	24.5%	- 11.8%	23.7%	23.5%	-58.8%
Re-Entries as referre of Air Entr	20.070	20.070	20.070	0.070	22.070	20.070	10.070	10.370	20.770	10.770	21.570	24.070	11.070	20.770	20.070	-30.070
By Age																
0-	0.0%	11.1%	8.5%	0.0%	5.2%	6.6%	0.0%	9.2%	8.2%	16.7%	10.3%	10.8%	25.0%	9.4%	11.2%	-
3-	50.0%	16.4%	9.5%	0.0%	9.1%	7.8%	0.0%	7.2%	9.2%	0.0%	16.0%	11.4%	0.0%	11.2%	9.7%	-100.0%
6-	0.0%	6.9%	6.5%	0.0%	7.8%	7.4%	0.0%	8.5%	8.8%	0.0%	8.0%	9.0%	0.0%	10.3%	10.1%	-
9-1	25.0%	5.8%	7.4%	0.0%	5.8%	6.3%	0.0%	9.8%	7.5%	0.0%	5.7%	7.9%	0.0%	12.1%	9.6%	-100.0%
12-1		17.5%	21.7%	0.0%	20.1%	18.4%	66.7%	19.6%	17.1%	16.7%	17.7%	16.5%	0.0%	15.2%	18.5%	-100.0%
15-1		41.8%	44.1%	0.0%	45.5%	46.3%	33.3%	41.2%	43.7%	50.0%	38.3%	38.2%	50.0%	39.7%	36.4%	-
18-2		0.5%	2.5%	0.0%	6.5%	7.1%	0.0%	4.6%	5.7%	16.7%	4.0%	6.3%	25.0%	2.2%	4.5%	-
Infants (age 0-1		4.8%	4.9%	0.0%	2.6%	3.8%	0.0%	5.9%	5.2%	16.7%	5.7%	6.5%	25.0%	6.3%	7.4%	-
Youth (age 13-	0.0%	55.6%	64.3%	0.0%	68.2%	68.6%	100.0%	63.4%	63.5%	83.3%	55.4%	57.9%	75.0%	55.4%	55.3%	-
By Race and Ethnicity			1	1	1		1		1	1		1	1		1	
Non-Hispanic Whit	100.0%	93.1%	35.7%	0.0%	90.9%	34.3%	66.7%	94.1%	35.8%	100.0%	86.3%	34.7%	50.0%	87.5%	39.5%	-50.0%
Non-Hispanic Black or African America		2.6%	45.5%	0.0%	3.2%	44.2%	33.3%	2.0%	43.3%	0.0%	4.0%	40.4%	0.0%	4.0%	40.1%	-50.070
Non-Hispanic Other Rac		0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.7%	4.1%	0.0%	2.3%	7.8%	0.0%	0.9%	3.1%	
Non-Hispanic Two or More Race		1.1%	3.3%	0.0%	3.9%	3.4%	0.0%	0.7%	4.5%	0.0%	5.7%	4.9%	0.0%	4.9%	5.8%	
Hispanic or Latin		3.2%	11.3%	0.0%	1.9%	11.4%	0.0%	2.6%	12.2%	0.0%	1.7%	12.2%	50.0%	2.7%	11.6%	_
	0.070	0.270	11.070	0.070	1.070	11.170	0.070	2.070	12.270	0.070	1.1 /0	12.270	00.070	2.170	11.070	
By Gender																
Mal		55.6%	47.6%	0.0%	50.0%	48.1%	66.7%	53.6%	50.6%	33.3%	57.7%	50.5%	75.0%	57.6%	50.3%	200.0%
Femal	75.0%	44.4%	52.4%	0.0%	50.0%	51.9%	33.3%	46.4%	49.4%	66.7%	42.3%	49.5%	25.0%	42.4%	49.7%	-66.7%
Pro Discourse to Cotting of Do Entry			1													
By Placement Setting at Re-Entry Family Setti	ng 100.0%	58.7%	50.5%	0.0%	52.6%	48.5%	33.3%	57.5%	53.7%	83.3%	57.7%	58.0%	75.0%	58.5%	61.7%	-25.0%
Pre-adoptive Hom	-	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	-25.0 %
Foster Family Home – Relativ		18.0%	16.5%	0.0%	11.0%	14.9%	0.0%	19.0%	20.2%	0.0%	16.0%	24.3%	25.0%	17.9%	26.3%	
Foster Family Home – Non-Relativ		40.7%	33.5%	0.0%	41.6%	33.3%	33.3%	38.6%	33.3%	83.3%	41.7%	33.1%	50.0%	40.6%	34.6%	-50.0%
Congregate Care Setti		40.7%	45.3%	0.0%	45.5%	44.4%	66.7%	40.5%	40.6%	16.7%	40.0%	37.1%	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	34.0%	-50.070
Group Hom	-	34.4%	26.0%	0.0%	35.7%	26.7%	0.0%	32.7%	24.8%	0.0%	31.4%	22.3%	0.0%	25.9%	19.3%	_
Institutio		6.3%	19.2%	0.0%	9.7%	17.7%	66.7%	7.8%	15.9%	16.7%	8.6%	14.8%	25.0%	13.4%	14.8%	_
Supervised Independent Livi		0.5%	0.8%	0.0%	1.3%	1.6%	0.0%	1.3%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.4%	1.3%	-
Runaw	-	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	-
Trial Home V	-	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.6%	1.2%	0.0%	0.7%	0.8%	0.0%	2.3%	0.8%	0.0%	1.8%	0.5%	-
	Re-F	ntries int	o Foster	Care			-	•	Per	cent of C	hildren h	v Placem	ent Setti	nσ		
		Group and										ster Care				
100%	~,			,				1			,		.,			
90%								County								
80%								,						1		
70%			Λ			_2012		-								
60%			$\neg \land$					Rural								
50%	<u> </u>			Ň		2013		Rara								
40%				$\wedge \land$	-	2014		-								
30%						2015		Chata								
20%					×			State								
10%				$ \rightarrow $		2016		+								
0%	<b>X</b> . ¥			<b>N</b>	<b></b>			0%		20%	40%	60%		80%	100%	
0-2	3-5 6-8	9-11	12-14	15-17 1	18-20					mily Setting	Grou	p Home	Institution	on Ot	her	
Source: AFCARS Report						1		Source: AFCAR	S Report			Congrega	ate Care			

### FOSTER CARE - GOALS

All children in foster care have a court-ordered permanency goal, which directs the efforts of the child welfare agency toward a certain family outcome for each child. In addition to the court-ordered 'primary' goal, a 'concurrent' goal is put in place to ensure permanency is achieved if for some reason the primary goal cannot be met. This data provides information on the most recent primary goal for every child served in foster care during the federal fiscal year.

Indicator		2012			2013			2014			2015			2016		County % Change
Indicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	2012 to 2016
Percent of Children by Case Plan Goal																
Family-Based Permanency Goals	96.8%	91.2%	90.9%	92.9%	91.7%	92.8%	94.9%	94.9%	93.6%	94.0%	96.7%	95.2%	95.3%	97.7%	95.0%	-1.5%
Reunify With Parent(s) or Principal Caretaker(s)	58.1%	65.9%	69.6%	45.2%	64.0%	70.5%	61.5%	69.5%	72.6%	76.0%	70.5%	73.1%	65.6%	72.6%	69.8%	13.0%
Adoption	16.1%	17.1%	16.6%	26.2%	18.6%	16.7%	20.5%	17.0%	16.1%	14.0%	20.0%	17.4%	21.9%	18.3%	19.5%	35.6%
Guardianship	19.4%	2.6%	3.1%	16.7%	7.1%	3.6%	12.8%	6.0%	3.1%	4.0%	4.3%	3.0%	7.8%	4.6%	4.3%	-59.6%
Live with Relative(s)	3.2%	5.6%	1.6%	4.8%	1.9%	2.1%	0.0%	2.4%	1.8%	0.0%	1.9%	1.7%	0.0%	2.2%	1.5%	-100.0%
Another Planned Permanent Living	3.2%	8.8%	9.1%	7.1%	8.3%	7.2%	5.1%	5.1%	6.4%	6.0%	3.3%	4.8%	4.7%	2.3%	5.0%	45.3%
Arrangement (APPLA)																
Long Term Foster Care	3.2%	4.8%	3.2%	4.8%	3.3%	2.1%	5.1%	1.3%	1.8%	2.0%	0.7%	1.2%	0.0%	0.4%	1.0%	-100.0%
Emancipation	0.0%	4.0%	5.9%	2.4%	5.0%	5.1%	0.0%	3.8%	4.6%	4.0%	2.7%	3.6%	4.7%	2.0%	4.0%	-
APPLA By Age as % of Age Group Served																
0-15	0.0%	2.7%	1.7%	0.0%	2.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.7%	0.8%	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.2%	-
16-20	20.0%	24.6%	28.4%	42.9%	25.8%	23.6%	18.2%	18.4%	23.1%	15.0%	14.7%	19.4%	16.7%	9.6%	21.6%	-16.7%
ADDI A Du Discherre Desser	r												<b></b>			
APPLA By Discharge Reason Exit to Permanent Arrangements	0.00/	00.0%	34.1%	50.0%	25.4%	28.9%	0.0%	15.0%	19.4%	0.0%	16.7%	23.0%	0.0%	17.9%	32.1%	
Reunification	<b>0.0%</b> 0.0%	<b>28.6%</b> 20.6%	<b>34.1%</b> 29.6%	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>25.4%</b> 6.8%	20.9% 22.8%	0.0%	10.0%	19.4%	0.0%	16.7%	<b>23.0%</b> 17.6%	0.0%	10.7%	<b>32.1%</b> 27.0%	-
(number of months until reunification)	0.0%	20.0% 54.0	37.2	19.9	16.5	22.0%	0.0%	33.4	36.2	0.0%	46.8	27.5	0.0%	50.0	30.4	-
Adoption	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-
(number of months until adoption)	0.078	0.0%	76.0	0.0%	0.0%	41.1	0.078	0.0%	149.3	0.078	0.0%	31.2	0.0%	0.078	0.078	
Guardianship	0.0%	6.3%	1.7%	0.0%	16.9%	3.4%	0.0%	5.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	7.1%	4.2%	_
(number of month until guardianship)	0.070	21.7	35.3	0.0%	23.6	32.0	0.0	17.8	27.8	0.070	0.070	18.0	0.070	129.1	32.0	
Live with Other Relatives	0.0%	1.6%	2.6%	0.0%	1.7%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	_
(number of months until living with relatives)	0.0	19.8	25.8	0.0	11.3	30.6	0.0	0.0	15.9	0.0	0.0	37.1	0.0	0.0	39.8	_
Exit to Non-Permanent Arrangements	0.0%	71.4%	65.8%	50.0%	74.6%	70.8%	100.0%	85.0%	80.4%	100.0%	83.3%	76.4%	100.0%	100.0%	68.6%	-
Emancipation	0.0%	69.8%	60.5%	50.0%	71.2%	64.2%	100.0%	82.5%	73.0%	100.0%	80.0%	71.7%	100.0%	100.0%	62.2%	-
(number of months until emancipation)	0.0	35.1	40.6	24.3	43.5	33.1	34.7	44.3	33.5	8.7	40.6	34.3	7.2	29.8	30.6	-
Transfer to Another Agency	0.0%	1.6%	3.6%	0.0%	3.4%	3.6%	0.0%	2.5%	5.5%	0.0%	3.3%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	-
(number of months until transfer)	0.0	98.6	51.5	0.0	41.6	46.4	0.0	20.2	13.0	0.0	84.3	40.7	0.0	0.0	27.6	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	-
(number of months until running away)	0.0	0.0	8.9	0.0	0.0	26.5	0.0	0.0	16.3	0.0	0.0	23.4	0.0	0.0	14.1	-
Death of Child	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	3.6%	0.2%	-
(number of months until death of a child)	0.0	0.0	42.8	0.0	0.0	92.4	0.0	0.0	129.8	0.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	143.7	143.7	-






### FOSTER CARE - EXITS

Data on foster care exits provides information on children who left foster care during the federal fiscal year, where they went when they left and how long their most recent episode of foster care was. A paramount goal of the foster care system is to ensure every child has the lifelong support of a permanent family.


Indicator		2012			2013			2014			2015			2016		County % Change
Indicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	2012 to 2016
Children Exiting Foster Care																
Total Children Leaving Foster Care	8	893	10,098	24	751	9,123	25	752	9,769	19	807	9,471	43	874	10,635	437.5%
Percent of Children Leaving Foster Care	25.8%	55.3%	45.9%	57.1%	51.4%	42.6%	64.1%	49.0%	43.4%	37.3%	51.3%	41.2%	65.2%	51.6%	43.3%	152.5%
(number of months until leaving)	5.2	11.0	11.9	20.4	10.6	11.9	9.8	9.1	10.7	7.5	11.1	11.4	8.3	12.1	11.7	59.0%
By Discharge Reason							1			1			Ī			
Exit to Permanent Arrangements	87.5%	90.5%	87.9%	91.7%	89.6%	89.3%	92.0%	91.1%	86.9%	89.5%	92.9%	85.0%	83.7%	93.1%	84.5%	-4.3%
Reunification	75.0%	60.4%	60.1%	29.2%	52.9%	57.3%	52.0%	61.3%	57.2%	84.2%	60.7%	54.5%	53.5%	61.3%	54.5%	-28.7%
(number of months until reunification)	4.1	5.8	6.1	0.5	4.8	6.0	2.1	5.6	5.5	3.7	7.0	5.7	3.7	5.6	6.7	-9.7%
Adoption	12.5%	17.4%	18.0%	37.5%	20.0%	20.2%	20.0%	13.0%	18.6%	5.3%	21.2%	18.7%	23.3%	19.8%	18.7%	86.0%
(number of months until adoption)	32.2	31.5	27.6	20.5	28.2	26.7	11.2	27.3	27.4	15.5	26.3	28.3	12.0	29.6	28.7	-62.7%
Guardianship	0.0%	7.3%	5.4%	8.3%	9.3%	6.4%	16.0%	9.4%	6.1%	0.0%	5.1%	6.5%	2.3%	7.1%	6.6%	-
(number of month until guardianship)	0.0	17.8	20.4	22.1	18.7	21.2	32.1	21.4	20.8	0.0	18.4	21.1	26.4	18.9	19.4	-
Live with Other Relatives	0.0%	5.5%	4.4%	16.7%	7.5%	5.5%	4.0%	7.3%	5.0%	0.0%	5.9%	5.4%	4.7%	4.9%	4.7%	-
(number of months until living with relatives)	0.0	4.3	2.7	14.9	4.7	2.6	0.5	10.7	1.0	0.0	8.6	3.0	0.1	4.4	2.2	-
Exit to Non-Permanent Arrangements	12.5%	9.5%	12.0%	8.3%	10.1%	10.5%	8.0%	8.8%	13.0%	10.5%	7.1%	14.8%	16.3%	6.6%	15.4%	30.2%
Emancipation	0.0%	8.5%	8.0%	4.2%	7.7%	8.1%	4.0%	7.3%	7.7%	10.5%	6.2%	7.8%	14.0%	6.1%	6.8%	-
(number of months until emancipation)	0.0	32.3	37.4	24.3	36.2	31.4	34.7	31.4	29.6	10.3	21.6	28.1	6.2	24.9	23.4	-
Transfer to Another Agency	12.5%	1.0%	1.6%	4.2%	2.1%	2.0%	4.0%	1.2%	4.3%	0.0%	0.7%	5.8%	2.3%	0.6%	7.9%	-81.4%
(number of months until transfer)	13.5	11.0	5.3	0.1	10.4	6.0	1.4	10.3	5.0	0.0	26.3	6.7	4.8	17.7	7.6	-64.1%
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%	0.1%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	-
(number of months until running away)	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0	41.6	14.9	0.0	25.2	13.2	0.0	25.7	15.5	0.0	0.0	11.2	-
Death of Child	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	-
(number of months until death of a child)	0.0	0.0	4.7	0.0	5.1	11.9	0.0	13.7	30.9	0.0	0.0	4.9	0.0	76.1	13.2	-




#### FOSTER CARE - REMAINING IN CARE

Data on children remaining in foster care provides information on children who did not leave foster care during the year but are still in foster care on the last day of the federal fiscal year. This data includes all children in foster care on September 30. Some of these children may have just entered foster care days prior to the end of the fiscal year and others may have been in foster care for many years.

		2012		I	2013			2014			2015			2016		County % Chang
ndicator	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	County	Rural	State	2012 to 2016
hildren Remaining in Foster Care																
Children in Foster Care on Last Day	25	816	13,566	18	774	13,697	16	840	14,162	33	849	14,859	24	918	15,382	-4.0%
(number of months children have been in care)	16.9	12.7	13.0	11.5	12.6	13.1	7.6	12.4	12.6	5.5	10.6	12.5	7.2	9.7	13.2	-57.1%
Du Ann	<u> </u>			1	1		1			1		1	1		1	
By Age 0-2	8.0%	17.6%	19.5%	33.3%	20.0%	19.9%	12.5%	22.5%	20.0%	24.2%	24.3%	21.0%	50.0%	21.7%	21.3%	525.0%
3-5	28.0%	16.7%	19.3%	33.3 <i>%</i> 11.1%	13.8%	15.6%	0.0%	14.9%	20.0% 16.7%	24.2 <i>%</i> 6.1%	24.3% 14.5%	16.7%	12.5%	16.4%	17.0%	-55.4%
6-8	4.0%	10.7%	10.9%	5.6%	10.2%	11.6%	0.0%	14.9%	12.4%	0.1%	14.5%	12.9%	0.0%	12.4%	13.2%	-100.0%
9-11	16.0%	10.4 %	9.2%	5.6%	9.7%	9.1%	0.0%	9.6%	9.6%	3.0%	9.5%	10.7%	0.0%	12.4 %	11.6%	-100.0%
12-14	32.0%	14.2%	12.4%	16.7%	15.6%	11.7%	25.0%	12.3%	11.5%	24.2%	13.2%	11.7%	8.3%	11.7%	12.0%	-74.0%
15-17	12.0%	24.9%	23.4%	27.8%	23.6%	22.6%	62.5%	24.3%	21.1%	33.3%	22.9%	20.2%	25.0%	23.4%	19.6%	108.3%
18-20	0.0%	5.6%	9.4%	0.0%	7.0%	9.5%	0.0%	3.8%	8.4%	9.1%	3.9%	6.8%	4.2%	2.4%	5.3%	-
Infants (age 0-1)	4.0%	11.9%	13.2%	33.3%	14.3%	12.7%	12.5%	15.5%	13.2%	18.2%	16.8%	13.9%	41.7%	15.3%	13.8%	941.7%
Youth (age 13+)	40.0%	41.1%	41.9%	38.9%	41.7%	40.7%	81.3%	37.0%	37.8%	60.6%	36.4%	35.2%	33.3%	33.9%	33.5%	-16.7%
	-															
By Race and Ethnicity																
Non-Hispanic White	80.0%	89.6%	40.9%	94.4%	90.1%	38.7%	75.0%	89.2%	40.1%	84.8%	86.3%	37.9%	70.8%	86.5%	41.0%	-11.5%
Non-Hispanic Black or African American	16.0%	4.4%	37.5%	5.6%	3.5%	37.1%	12.5%	2.7%	38.3%	3.0%	4.0%	36.9%	0.0%	3.3%	37.0%	-100.0%
Non-Hispanic Other Race	4.0%	1.2%	6.0%	0.0%	0.4%	7.8%	0.0%	0.6%	3.9%	0.0%	1.2%	6.0%	4.2%	1.1%	2.9%	4.2%
Non-Hispanic Two or More Races	0.0%	2.5%	3.3%	0.0%	3.5%	3.7%	6.3%	5.1%	4.7%	3.0%	5.5%	4.9%	8.3%	5.0%	5.5%	-
Hispanic or Latino	0.0%	2.3%	12.2%	0.0%	2.6%	12.7%	6.3%	2.4%	13.0%	9.1%	2.9%	14.3%	16.7%	4.1%	13.6%	-
By Gender																
Male	28.0%	56.0%	50.8%	50.0%	52.7%	50.7%	43.8%	53.7%	50.9%	57.6%	54.1%	51.3%	50.0%	54.8%	51.2%	78.6%
Female	72.0%	44.0%	49.2%	50.0%	47.3%	49.3%	56.3%	46.3%	49.1%	42.4%	45.9%	48.7%	50.0%	45.2%	48.8%	-30.6%
By Latest Placement Setting																
Family Setting	92.0%	79.2%	76.1%	88.9%	77.8%	76.8%	75.0%	78.2%	79.0%	84.8%	77.3%	80.6%	95.8%	79.2%	82.5%	4.2%
Pre-adoptive Home	4.0%	2.3%	3.0%	5.6%	1.9%	3.2%	0.0%	3.7%	3.9%	0.0%	2.7%	3.2%	0.0%	1.4%	5.3%	-100.0%
Foster Family Home – Relative	16.0%	22.3%	25.2%	38.9%	22.5%	25.7%	18.8%	25.8%	30.0%	27.3%	25.4%	32.8%	50.0%	28.5%	35.1%	212.5%
Foster Family Home – Non-Relative	72.0%	54.5%	47.9%	44.4%	53.4%	47.9%	56.3%	48.7%	45.1%	57.6%	49.1%	44.5%	45.8%	49.2%	42.1%	-36.3%
Congregate Care Setting	8.0%	18.8%	19.2%	11.1%	19.4%	18.7%	25.0%	19.2%	17.3%	12.1%	19.3%	16.0%	4.2%	18.3%	14.7%	-47.9%
Group Home	0.0%	12.5%	11.0%	0.0%	12.8%	10.8%	0.0%	13.8%	10.6%	0.0%	14.6%	9.0%	0.0%	14.9%	8.4%	-
Institution	8.0%	6.3%	8.2%	11.1%	6.6%	7.8%	25.0%	5.4%	6.7%	12.1%	4.7%	7.0%	4.2%	3.4%	6.3%	-47.9%
Supervised Independent Living	0.0%	1.2%	1.9%	0.0%	1.4%	2.1%	0.0%	0.8%	1.7%	3.0%	0.9%	1.4%	0.0%	0.8%	1.3%	-
Runaway	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.1%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.7%	-
Trial Home Visit	0.0%	0.9%	1.1%	0.0%	1.3%	1.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.8%	0.0%	2.5%	1.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.7%	-





papartnerships.org

#### **FOSTER CARE - STABILITY**

Every time a child moves, the trauma caused by separation and lack of continuity grows. A child who faces multiple placements struggles to build and maintain healthy relationships and confront academic challenges due to school changes.

Indicator		2012			2013			2014			2015			2016		County % Change
Indicator	County	Rural	State	2012 to 2016												
Foster Care Placement Stability Children who were in foster care for 12 to 23 months and had three or more placement settings.	31.6%	36.7%	36.9%	63.2%	37.4%	34.1%	0.0%	33.7%	32.7%	41.7%	34.0%	32.8%	29.2%	29.0%	33.6%	-7.6%

#### **FOSTER CARE - TIMELY REUNIFICATION**

A child's separation from his or her parents or relatives is traumatic for all involved. Minimizing the time a child spends in foster care without compromising safety is key to healthy child development.

Indicator		2012			2013			2014			2015			2016		County % Change
Indicator	County	Rural	State	2012 to 2016												
Timely Reunification with Parents or Relatives Children who entered foster care for the first time who were reunified with their parents or discharged to relatives within the next 12 months.	55.6%	56.0%	44.6%	18.2%	52.1%	41.3%	46.2%	44.2%	39.7%	50.0%	48.4%	39.0%	39.3%	52.2%	36.0%	-29.3%

#### **FOSTER CARE - FAILED REUNIFICATION**

A child re-entering foster care following a return to his or her family signals a breakdown in the family and a potential failure on the part of the child welfare system to appropriately address family needs.

Indicator		2012			2013			2014			2015			2016		County % Change
Indicator	County	Rural	State	2012 to 2016												
Failed 1-Year Reunification (Re-entry) Children who re-entered foster care in fewer than 12 months following reunification with parents or relatives.	0.0%	19.7%	26.3%	50.0%	20.3%	26.4%	0.0%	20.9%	26.9%	15.4%	15.8%	24.1%	12.5%	19.5%	24.8%	-



is part of a work rdinated and ported by the ie E. Casey We gratefully acknowledge the support of The Annie E. Casey Foundation's KIDS COUNT Project, The Heinz Endowments, Highmark, and PNC Financial Services Group.



Pennsylvania Partnerships for Children 116 Pine Street, Suite 430 Harrisburg, PA • 17101-1244 papartnerships.org 717.236.5680 • 800.257.2030